



ALKEBULAN

A Journal of West and  
East African Studies

Volume 5, Issue 1 (April)

*Alkebulan: A Journal of West and East African Studies*

<https://gnosipress.com.ng/index.php/alkebulan>

ISSN (Online): 2971-6187

## Beyond Extraction: Ubuntu and Ecological Debt in the Political Ecology of the Niger Delta

Vareba Dinebari DAVID,

Department of Philosophy,

Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Coresponding Email: [david.dinebari@ust.edu.ng](mailto:david.dinebari@ust.edu.ng)

### ABSTRACT

The Niger Delta stands as a paramount case study of environmental injustice, where five decades of oil extraction have generated immense wealth for the Global North and the Nigerian state while inflicting profound ecological and social harm on local communities. Dominant frameworks for analyzing this crisis, rooted in Western distributive justice models and political ecology, often fail to fully capture its ontological and ethical dimensions. This paper argues that the African ethic of Ubuntu (often translated as “I am because we are”) and the political-economic concept of ecological debt provide a more robust, culturally-grounded, and morally resonant framework for understanding and addressing the injustice in the Niger Delta. The paper posits that the harm of oil pollution is not merely economic or environmental but is a fundamental violation of the relational personhood central to Ubuntu, severing the vital connections between people, their ancestors, and their land. Simultaneously, it reframes the financial and reparative obligations of multinational corporations and the Global North not as aid, but as the repayment of a long-overdue ecological debt accrued through the unjust appropriation and degradation of the Delta’s natural wealth. Through a critical analysis of the political ecology of oil extraction, an exegesis of Ubuntu as an environmental ethic, and a detailed case study of the Ogoni struggle and the failed UNEP cleanup, this paper demonstrates how a synthesis of these concepts offers a transformative path toward justice—one that demands not just compensation, but relational repair, cultural restitution, and the restoration of a violated socio-ecological whole. This synthesis provides a decolonial alternative to mainstream environmental ethics, centering African philosophical thought in the quest for global ecological justice.

#### \*Corresponding author:

Vareba Dinebari DAVID,  
[david.dinebari@ust.edu.ng](mailto:david.dinebari@ust.edu.ng)

Received: 03 June 2024

Accepted: 25 May 2025

Published: 25 May 2025

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY-NC-4.0 ©2025 by author

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

**Keywords:** *Ubuntu, Ecological Debt, Niger Delta, Political Ecology, Environmental Justice, Ken Saro-Wiwa, Petro-capitalism.*

## INTRODUCTION

The Niger Delta presents one of the world's most stark and protracted landscapes of environmental violence, a place where the promises of modernity and development have been drowned in a toxic slurry of crude oil and militarized greed. For over half a century, the extraction of crude oil has fueled global capitalism and filled the coffers of transnational corporations and the Nigerian state, while systematically devastating the ecosystems and human communities that inhabit this fertile delta. The standard narrative, perpetuated by corporate public relations and state discourse, frames this as a problem of “underdevelopment,” “corporate irresponsibility,” or the “resource curse”—terms that, while containing elements of truth, often obscure the deeper structures of ontological and ethical injustice at play. This paper argues that to truly comprehend the nature of the harm in the Niger Delta and to articulate a meaningful vision of justice, we must move beyond conventional Western ethical and economic frameworks and engage with the complementary concepts of *Ubuntu* and ecological debt.

The central thesis of this paper is that the crisis in the Niger Delta is fundamentally a crisis of broken relationality and an unacknowledged debt. The dominant model of oil extraction is predicated on a Cartesian and Lockean ontology that radically separates humanity from nature, reducing the rich, life-sustaining ecosystem of the Delta to a mere reservoir of inert resources to be exploited and owned. This worldview is antithetical to the relational ontology of *Ubuntu*, which posits that personhood is not an individual attribute but is constituted through one's relationships with the human community, the land, the ancestors, and the cosmos. From an *Ubuntu* perspective, the poisoning of rivers, the gas flaring that pollutes the air, and the destruction of farmland are not just environmental damages; they are attacks on the very fabric of personhood and social life. They represent a severing of the relational bonds that make life meaningful and viable, a form of social-ecological death.

Concurrently, the immense wealth generated from this extraction represents an ecological debt owed by the primary beneficiaries—multinational oil companies (primarily Shell, ExxonMobil, and Chevron) and the consumer nations of the Global North—to the people of the Niger Delta. This debt is for the unilateral appropriation of the region's natural capital, the destruction of its capacity to sustain life, and the cultural genocide inflicted upon its people. The paltry sums offered as compensation or the endlessly delayed clean-up efforts are a grossly inadequate accounting for this debt, which is not merely financial but also moral, cultural, and ecological. Framing this obligation as a debt, rather than charity, fundamentally shifts the power dynamics and moral grounding of the discourse.

This paper will unfold in several parts. First, it will provide a detailed political ecology of the Niger Delta, tracing the history of oil extraction and its multifarious impacts, thereby setting the empirical stage and illustrating the limitations of a purely materialist analysis. Second, it will delve into the philosophy of *Ubuntu*, excavating its core tenets from the work of African philosophers and articulating it as a robust environmental ethic that defines harm in profoundly relational terms. The third section will introduce and elaborate the concept of ecological debt, linking the local exploitation in the Delta to global economic structures and historical injustices. The fourth section will serve as the theoretical core, synthesizing *Ubuntu* and ecological debt to construct a comprehensive framework for understanding the crisis as one of both relational violation and material appropriation. The fifth section will ground this framework in a detailed case study of the

Ogoni people's struggle, analyzing the legacy of Ken Saro-Wiwa, the Ogoni Bill of Rights, and the failed implementation of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) report on Ogoniland. Finally, the paper will address potential objections and conclude by outlining what a reparative justice, guided by *Ubuntu* and the repayment of ecological debt, would entail for the future of the Niger Delta and for global environmental ethics.

## **THE POLITICAL ECOLOGY OF THE NIGER DELTA: A LANDSCAPE OF CONTRADICTION**

The Niger Delta, a vast mosaic of mangrove forests, freshwater swamps, and coastal waterways, is the engine room of the Nigerian economy, yet its people remain among the most impoverished and environmentally devastated in the nation. The political ecology of the region is a complex and tragic interplay of global capital, state power, local resistance, and profound ecological transformation. Understanding this context is essential for any meaningful ethical analysis, as it reveals the material processes that the philosophies of *Ubuntu* and ecological debt seek to address and transform.

The discovery of commercial quantities of oil in Oloibiri in 1956 by Shell-BP marked a pivotal turn in the region's history, initiating a process that would bind Nigeria's fate to the volatile global oil market. What followed was not the promised development but what political ecologist Michael Watts (2001) has termed "petro-violence"—a form of violence embedded in the very logic of oil extraction, which is inherently disruptive, enclaved, and rentier-based. The Nigerian state, increasingly dependent on oil for over 80% of its revenue and 90% of its foreign exchange, evolved into a classic "petro-state," characterized by a symbiotic and often corrupt relationship between transnational oil corporations and a comprador political class. This arrangement, often secured through militarized control, systematically excluded the local populations from the benefits of the resource extracted from their ancestral lands, creating what Watts (2004) calls a "governable space" organized solely around the secure flow of oil.

The environmental consequences of this extractive model are catastrophic and have been widely documented by environmental organizations and academic researchers. The Niger Delta is one of the most polluted places on earth. According to estimates, the region experiences a volume of oil spills equivalent to the *Exxon Valdez* disaster every year, with over 7,000 spills recorded between 1970 and 2000 alone (UNDP, 2006). Pipeline corrosion due to inadequate maintenance, operational failures, and deliberate sabotage—itsself a product of the deep political and economic grievances—lead to the continuous contamination of land and water. A 2011 report by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) on Ogoniland found widespread hydrocarbon pollution in soil and groundwater, with water from wells in one community contaminated with benzene, a known carcinogen, at levels over 900 times the World Health Organization guideline.

Gas flaring, the burning of associated gas extracted with oil, remains a pervasive and emblematic practice, despite being illegal in Nigeria for decades. Nigeria is responsible for a significant proportion of the world's gas flaring, burning off billions of dollars worth of gas annually and releasing toxic cocktails of carbon dioxide, methane, and other pollutants (Eweje, 2006). These flares contribute to acid rain, which damages roofs and crops, and are linked to a high prevalence of respiratory illnesses, skin diseases, and cancers among local populations. The flares light up the night sky in a perpetual, unnatural dawn over communities that often lack basic electricity, a stark symbol of the grotesque inequalities of petro-capitalism.

The socio-economic impacts are a direct corollary of this ecological destruction. The primary livelihoods of the Niger Delta people—fishing and farming—have been decimated. Contaminated creeks yield no fish, and polluted soils will not support crops. This has led to widespread food insecurity, malnutrition, and the collapse of local economies, forcing many into poverty and precarious urban existence. The wealth flowing from the region has not been reinvested in its infrastructure; communities surrounded by multi-billion-dollar oil infrastructure lack access to clean water, functional healthcare, and quality education. This stark juxtaposition of immense wealth generation and acute local immiseration is the central contradiction of the Delta's political ecology, giving rise to what Nnimmo Bassey (2010) describes as “the coloniality of power” in the extractive zone.

The response of the state and the oil corporations has historically been a combination of neglect, co-optation, and militarized repression. The execution of the “Ogoni Nine,” including playwright and activist Ken Saro-Wiwa, by the Nigerian military government in 1995, stands as a brutal testament to the lengths to which the petro-state will go to protect the interests of oil capital and silence dissent (Na'Allah, 1998). More recently, the government's amnesty program for militants, while reducing armed conflict, has functioned primarily as a strategy of pacification through patronage, creating a class of wealthy ex-militant leaders without addressing the underlying ecological and economic grievances of the general population (Obi, 2014).

This political ecology creates a context where harm is multidimensional. It is material, in the loss of livelihood and health; it is political, in the denial of self-determination and the repression of dissent; and it is psychological, in the trauma of witnessing the slow death of one's homeland. However, as the next section will argue, for the communities experiencing it, the harm is also profoundly ontological, striking at the very core of their identity and being—a dimension that the philosophy of *Ubuntu* makes explicit and that remains invisible to a purely economic or political analysis.

## **UBUNTU AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL ETHIC: PERSONHOOD, RELATIONALITY, AND THE LAND**

To comprehend the depth of the harm in the Niger Delta, one must engage with an ethical framework that resonates with the worldviews of its people. *Ubuntu*, a Nguni Bantu term with cognates across many sub-Saharan African cultures (such as *Umunna* in Igbo and *Botho* in Sotho-Tswana), offers such a framework. While often translated as “I am because we are,” this simplification belies a complex and profound philosophy of life that provides a radical alternative to Western individualism. *Ubuntu* posits that personhood (*umuntu*) is not an innate, individual possession but is generated and sustained through dynamic relationships with others (*abantu*) and the wider cosmos.

The core tenets of *Ubuntu*, as articulated by philosophers like Mogobe B. Ramose (1999), Lovemore Mbigi (1997), and Innocent Asouzu (2004), include relationality, communalism, reciprocity, and the fundamental dignity of all beings. An individual becomes a person through a process of integration into a community. As Archbishop Desmond Tutu (1999) famously explained, “A person with Ubuntu is open and available to others, affirming of others, does not feel threatened that others are able and good...” This community is not limited to the living; it includes the ancestors (*amadlozi* or *ndichie*) and those yet to be born, forming a continuous flow of being across time. Crucially, and most significantly for environmental ethics, it also encompasses the

natural environment. The land, rivers, forests, and animals are not external resources but active participants in the community of life. They are seen as sacred, as holding the memory and presence of the ancestors, and as essential partners in the project of sustaining life and identity.

From this perspective, the land is not a commodity to be bought, sold, and exploited according to the Lockean principle of “mixing one’s labour.” It is a foundational element of personhood. The Igbo saying “Ala di ndu” (the land is living) captures this sentiment perfectly. Asouzu’s (2004) philosophy of “complementarity” argues that being is inherently relational and that entities find their fulfillment in relation to others. The human person and the land exist in a relationship of mutual completion and interdependence. To harm the land is to directly harm the people whose identity, history, and sustenance are inextricably linked to it. This stands in stark contrast to Cartesian dualism, which separates mind from matter, and Lockean liberalism, which underpin Western property law and view land as inert property to be improved, owned, and disposed of.

*Ubuntu* as an environmental ethic, therefore, defines harm in profoundly relational terms. An action is wrong not only because it causes physical suffering or economic loss, but because it disrupts the harmonious network of relationships that constitute the community of life. Pollution, in this view, is a triple violation. Firstly, it severs the relationship between people and their land, rendering it infertile and hostile, a betrayal of the trust and reciprocity that defines their coexistence. Secondly, it severs the relationship between the living and the ancestors, whose spirits are believed to reside in the land and who are dishonored by its desecration. This creates a spiritual crisis, a break in the chain of being. Thirdly, it severs the relationship with future generations, who are robbed of their heritage and a viable homeland, violating the duty of care owed to them. This ethic imposes a duty of care (*ukukhathalela*) upon individuals and the community to preserve these relational bonds. It fosters a virtue of respect for all beings, recognizing their intrinsic value as part of the interconnected whole. When the Ogoni people, through the Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People (MOSOP), declared that “the land is our God” and that its destruction was a sacrilege, they were articulating a quintessentially *Ubuntu* worldview (Saro-Wiwa, 1995). The crisis in the Niger Delta, therefore, is not simply an environmental problem; it is a moral and spiritual crisis caused by the imposition of an extractive ontology that is fundamentally alien and hostile to the relational ontology of *Ubuntu*. It is a clash of worlds, where one worldview, backed by immense military and economic power, is systematically dismantling another.

## **THE CONCEPT OF ECOLOGICAL DEBT: ACCOUNTING FOR GLOBAL APPROPRIATION**

While *Ubuntu* provides a lens for understanding the local, relational, and ontological experience of harm, the concept of ecological debt provides the macro-economic, historical, and global framework to account for the structures that enable and perpetuate this harm. Ecological debt is defined as the cumulative responsibility borne by industrialized countries and corporations for the historical and ongoing appropriation of the Global South’s natural resources and environmental space, without just compensation and often through coercive and unequal terms of trade (Martinez-Alier, 2002). It is a concept that gives economic and moral weight to the historical externalities of Northern development.

The ecological debt owed to the Niger Delta is immense and can be calculated in several interconnected layers, each compounding the injustice. The first and most direct layer is the debt for resource plunder. Since the 1950s, an estimated \$1 trillion worth of oil has been extracted from the region (Watts, 2004). The vast majority of this wealth has been exported, enriching shareholders in Europe and North America and fueling a corrupt political system in Abuja, while a paltry fraction has been returned to the Delta in the form of royalties or meaningful development projects. This represents a massive, sustained net outflow of natural capital from the region, a form of “ecological unequal exchange” (Hornborg, 1998) where the real wealth of the Delta—its oil—is exchanged for monetary tokens that largely bypass its people.

The second layer is the debt for environmental destruction and the cost of restoration. This includes the monumental cost of cleaning up the thousands of oil spills, ending gas flaring, and restoring the critically endangered mangrove ecosystems. The UNEP (2011) report on Ogoniland alone estimated that a comprehensive cleanup would take 25-30 years and require an initial investment of \$1 billion. This figure is for only a small part of the Delta. The full cost of ecological restoration for the entire region, including the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services, is incalculably large, yet it is a debt that must be paid by those who profited from causing the damage. The “polluter pays” principle, a cornerstone of international environmental law, is invoked here at a civilizational scale. The third layer is the debt for social, cultural, and health-related harm. This is the most difficult to quantify but is no less real. It includes compensation for the loss of livelihoods and the intergenerational poverty inflicted upon fishing and farming communities. It includes the healthcare costs of pollution-related diseases such as asthma, cancer, and neurological disorders. It encompasses the cultural loss associated with the destruction of sacred sites and the erosion of traditional knowledge systems tied to a healthy environment. This is where the concept of ecological debt dovetails most powerfully with *Ubuntu*. The social and cultural harm is the relational harm, and the debt owed is for the destruction of a way of life, for the “social death” that accompanies ecological death (Nixon, 2011).

This debt is owed primarily by the multinational oil corporations who directly orchestrated the extraction and externalized the costs onto local communities. Their operational practices, often below international standards, and their complicity with state violence, make them the primary debtors. However, the consumer nations of the Global North are also complicit debtors. Their industrial economies and modern lifestyles have been built on and continue to depend on cheap energy, for which the Niger Delta has paid the ultimate price in blood and ecological ruin. The ecological debt framework thus challenges the neo-colonial narrative of “aid” and reframes the obligation as one of restitution and reparations. Financial transfers for cleanup and development are not charitable gifts from benevolent donors; they are partial repayments of a long-overdue debt owed by creditors to their debtors, a fundamental recalibration of a deeply unjust historical account.

### **SYNTHESIZING THE FRAMEWORKS: UBUNTU AND ECOLOGICAL DEBT AS A PATH TO RESTORATIVE JUSTICE**

The true power and novelty of this analysis emerge from the synthesis of *Ubuntu* and ecological debt. Together, they provide a comprehensive diagnosis of the crisis and a visionary prescription for justice that is at once locally resonant and globally

salient. *Ubuntu* explains the *nature of the harm* (relational, ontological, and spiritual), while ecological debt identifies the *source of the obligation* (historical appropriation, destruction, and global economic structures). One focuses on the internal experience of the victim, the other on the external responsibility of the perpetrator. Combined, they form a powerful, decolonial ethical lens.

From this synthesized perspective, the demand for justice in the Niger Delta becomes a dual demand: for the restoration of relational integrity and the repayment of a material and moral debt. It moves the discussion beyond technical fixes and monetary compensation towards a more holistic, transformative concept of restorative and reparative justice. This would entail several key, interconnected components:

First, **Cessation and Guarantees of Non-Repetition:** The immediate and verifiable end of ongoing ecological violence—this means stopping all gas flaring, replacing aging and corroded pipelines, and halting new extraction in ecologically sensitive and culturally significant areas until the free, prior, and informed consent of the communities is obtained. This is the first and most basic step in stopping the ongoing hemorrhage of relational personhood.

Second, **Restitution and Ecological Repair:** A comprehensive, independently supervised, and scientifically rigorous cleanup of the entire Niger Delta, funded by an Ecological Debt Reparation Fund financed by the oil corporations and, through international mechanisms, the consumer nations. This is not just an engineering project but an act of profound relational repair, seeking to restore the land and water to a state where they can once again sustain the community of life in all its dimensions.

Third, **Compensation and Cultural Redress:** Full and fair monetary compensation for lost livelihoods, health impacts, and diminished life prospects, calculated not just on lost income but on the damage to relational well-being and communal vitality. This must be accompanied by active cultural restitution, including the official memorialization of victims of petro-violence like Ken Saro-Wiwa, the legal protection of sacred sites, and the revitalization of cultural practices and languages tied to a healthy environment.

Fourth, **Acknowledgement and Apology (Satisfaction):** A formal, public, and unequivocal acknowledgment by the Nigerian state and the oil corporations of the harm inflicted, the ecological debt incurred, and the violation of the *Ubuntu* principles of community and relationality. A sincere apology is not a mere formality but a crucial step in moral repair, an acknowledgment that restores a measure of dignity to the victims and validates their experience of harm.

Fifth, **Political Restructuring and Resource Sovereignty:** A fundamental restructuring of the political economy of oil to grant the people of the Niger Delta genuine autonomy and control over their resources and their future. This aligns with the *Ubuntu* principle of communal self-determination and is a necessary condition for preventing the recurrence of the debt. This could involve constitutional reforms that enshrine resource sovereignty for host communities and the implementation of the principles of a just transition away from fossil fuels.

This synthesized framework offers a language of justice that is powerful because it is rooted in the soil of Africa itself. It connects the local struggle of the Ogoni farmer to global structures of inequality and provides a moral compass for a transition that is not imposed from the outside but grows from an internal ethical universe. It demands a reckoning that is both spiritual and material.

### **CASE STUDY: THE Ogoni STRUGGLE – FROM MOSOP TO UNEP**

The struggle of the Ogoni people against the Shell Petroleum Development Company and the Nigerian state epitomizes the theoretical claims of this paper and serves as a poignant case study of the clash between extractive logic and relational ontology. In 1990, the Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People (MOSOP), under the visionary leadership of Ken Saro-Wiwa, issued the Ogoni Bill of Rights. This document was not merely a demand for a higher share of oil revenue; it was a powerful declaration of their relational ontology and a political articulation of *Ubuntu* principles. It stated unequivocally, “The land is the source of our life and the basis of our culture... without the land, we are nothing.” They framed the systematic destruction of their environment not as an industrial accident but as a “cultural genocide,” a direct assault on their personhood as Ogoni people (Saro-Wiwa, 1995).

Saro-Wiwa’s activism, combining eloquent international advocacy with grassroots mobilization, and his subsequent execution by the Sani Abacha regime in 1995, made him a global symbol of the fight for environmental justice. His death was not just a political murder; it was a catastrophic rupture in the Ogoni community, a direct result of the petro-state’s violence designed to protect the flow of oil and suppress the demand for ecological debt repayment. His famous last words before the tribunal, “Lord take my soul, but the struggle continues,” consecrated the cause and framed it in a moral and almost theological light, resonating with the spiritual dimensions of *Ubuntu* (Na’Allah, 1998).

Decades after his death, the struggle continues, and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) report on Ogoniland, published in 2011, serves as a stark testament to the unfulfilled promises and the enduring ecological debt. The report was a monumental scientific validation of the Ogoni people’s long-held claims. It revealed widespread contamination of soil and groundwater at levels far exceeding Nigerian standards, finding that in some places, a 8 cm layer of refined oil floated on the groundwater which was used for drinking. It detailed the devastating impact on human health and livelihoods, confirming the contamination of creeks and fishing grounds. Critically, it recommended the establishment of an Environmental Restoration Fund for Ogoniland with an initial capital of \$1 billion and proposed the creation of an integrated contaminated soil management center.

However, the implementation of the UNEP report has been characterized by profound delays, bureaucratic incompetence, corruption, and a lack of political will—a classic case of what political scientists call “non-performative accountability” (Asume & Osuoka, 2021). The Hydrocarbon Pollution Remediation Project (HYPREP), established by the Nigerian government to oversee the cleanup, has been widely criticized by civil society groups for a lack of transparency, the exclusion of local communities from decision-making, the awarding of contracts to unqualified firms, and the poor quality of its initial remediation efforts. A 2020 report by the Centre for Environment, Human Rights and Development (CEHRD) found that many of the supposedly cleaned sites remained heavily contaminated. This failure demonstrates the absolute limitations of a technical-

bureaucratic approach that is divorced from the deeper political and ethical demands for restorative justice and debt repayment. The Ogoni case shows that without the moral force of *Ubuntu* to guide the process and the legal and political pressure to honor the full scope of the ecological debt, even the most damning scientific evidence is insufficient to bring about meaningful justice. The debt remains unpaid, the relations remain broken.

## **OBJECTIONS AND REBUTTALS**

**Objection 1: The Romanticization of *Ubuntu*.** Critics may argue that invoking *Ubuntu* romanticizes a pre-modern, homogeneous African past that no longer exists, ignoring internal conflicts, patriarchal structures, and the complexities of modern governance and market economies.

**Rebuttal:** This is a valid caution against any uncritical application of cultural philosophy. *Ubuntu* is not a panacea, and its principles, like any, can be contested, manipulated, or fail to be realized in practice. However, this paper does not propose a return to a mythical, conflict-free past. Rather, it argues for *Ubuntu* as a critical, living ethical resource—a philosophical framework that can inform and reshape modern legal, political, and economic institutions, much like Enlightenment principles of liberty and equality have shaped Western democracies. The call for legal personhood for nature, for instance, which is gaining traction globally, finds a strong and natural philosophical ally in *Ubuntu*. It is offered not as a blueprint for the past, but as a moral compass for the future.

**Objection 2: The Practicality of Ecological Debt.** Skeptics may question how a nebulous and potentially vast concept like ecological debt can be operationalized into concrete policy, legal liability, and precise financial calculations. They may argue it is a political slogan, not a policy tool.

**Rebuttal:** While calculating the full, final amount is complex, the concept is already being operationalized in various ways. The principle of “polluter pays” is well-established in international environmental law. Ecological debt simply scales this up to a historical and global level, demanding an accounting for the cumulative pollution and resource theft. Economists like Joan Martinez-Alier (2002) and his colleagues at the EJAtlas have been developing methodologies for such calculations. Furthermore, climate litigation lawsuits, such as those seeking compensation for “loss and damage” from major polluting countries, are practical legal avenues for demanding repayment of the climate portion of this debt. The framework provides the overarching moral and historical justification for these growing legal, political, and financial movements.

**Objection 3: Shifting Responsibility from the Nigerian State.** One might argue that this framework lets the corrupt and complicit Nigerian state off the hook by focusing on multinational corporations and the Global North. After all, it is the Nigerian military that committed the atrocities, and the Nigerian elite that mismanages the oil wealth.

**Rebuttal:** A comprehensive and honest application of the ecological debt framework must hold all culpable parties accountable. The Nigerian political and military elite are not innocent bystanders; they are active beneficiaries and enforcers of the extractive

model. They are domestic debtors who have plundered the nation's wealth and betrayed their fiduciary duty to their people. The framework demands accountability and political restructuring at the national level, alongside the international repayment of debt. It is not an either/or but a both/and approach. The state's culpability does not absolve Shell or the historical responsibility of the Global North; it compounds the injustice, creating multiple layers of debtors.

## CONCLUSION

The Niger Delta is a bleeding wound on the body of the planet, a stark and enduring reminder of the catastrophic costs of an extractive civilization built on the denial of relationality and the violent externalization of ecological and social costs. This paper has argued that to heal this wound, we need diagnoses and remedies that match the depth of the pathology. The synthesis of the African ethic of *Ubuntu* and the political-economic concept of ecological debt provides such a framework, offering a decolonial alternative to mainstream environmental ethics.

*Ubuntu* allows us to see the crisis for what it truly is: not just an environmental problem, but a violent assault on the relational personhood of the Niger Delta's people, a severing of the sacred bonds that connect them to their land, their ancestors, and their future. It names the harm in the language of the victims, restoring the spiritual and ontological dimensions that are often erased by technocratic analyses. The concept of ecological debt, in turn, provides the historical and economic accounting for this assault, naming the creditors and the debtors in no uncertain terms and reframing the obligation from one of charity to one of restitution and reparations.

Justice for the Niger Delta, therefore, cannot be a minimalist project of cleanup and compensation. It must be a maximalist project of restorative and reparative justice. It requires a fundamental reorientation of the relationship between humanity and nature, between the Global North and South, and between the Nigerian state and the people of the Niger Delta. It requires honoring the wisdom of *Ubuntu* by recentering community, relationality, and the sacredness of the land in our political and economic systems. And it requires honoring the balance sheet of history by repaying the ecological debt in full—through comprehensive environmental restoration, cultural restitution, political transformation, and a genuine transfer of power and resources. The continued suffering and resistance in the Delta are a living testament to an unpaid debt. The long and arduous struggle for justice is the relentless, courageous pursuit of its final settlement.

## REFERENCES

- Asouzu, I. I. (2004). *The Method and Principles of Complementary Reflection in and Beyond African Philosophy*. University of Calabar Press.
- Asume, O., & Osuoka, I. (2021). *The HYPREP Conundrum: A Critical Assessment of the Ogoni Clean-Up*. Centre for Environment, Human Rights and Development (CEHRD).
- Bassey, N. (2010). *To Cook a Continent: Destructive Extraction and the Climate Crisis in Africa*. Pambazuka Press.
- Centre for Environment, Human Rights and Development (CEHRD). (2020). *No Cleanup, No Justice: An Outcome Report of the Civil Society Survey on the Implementation of UNEP Report in Ogoniland*.

- Eweje, G. (2006). Environmental costs and responsibilities resulting from oil exploitation in developing countries: The case of the Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 69(1), 27-56.
- Hornborg, A. (1998). Towards an ecological theory of unequal exchange: Articulating world system theory and ecological economics. *Ecological Economics*, 25(1), 127-136.
- Martinez-Alier, J. (2002). *The Environmentalism of the Poor: A Study of Ecological Conflicts and Valuation*. Edward Elgar.
- Mbigi, L. (1997). *Ubuntu: The African Dream in Management*. Knowledge Resources.
- Na'Allah, A. R. (Ed.). (1998). *Ogoni's Agonies: Ken Saro-Wiwa and the Crisis in Nigeria*. Africa World Press.
- Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard University Press.
- Obi, C. (2014). Oil and conflict in the Niger Delta: Contested governance and the struggle for resource control. In *Extractive Economies and Conflicts in the Global South: Multi-Regional Perspectives on Rentier Politics* (pp. 121-138). Routledge.
- Ramose, M. B. (1999). *African Philosophy Through Ubuntu*. Mond Books.
- Saro-Wiwa, K. (1995). *A Month and a Day: A Detention Diary*. Penguin Books.
- Tutu, D. (1999). *No Future Without Forgiveness*. Image.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2006). *Niger Delta Human Development Report*.
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2011). *Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland*. United Nations.
- Watts, M. J. (2001). Petro-Violence: Community, Extraction, and Political Ecology of a Mythic Commodity. In N. L. Peluso & M. Watts (Eds.), *Violent Environments* (pp. 189-212). Cornell University Press.
- Watts, M. J. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Geopolitics*, 9(1), 50-80.