



**ALKEBULAN**

**A Journal of West and  
East African Studies**

**Volume 5, Issue 2**

*Alkebulan: A Journal of West and East African Studies*

<http://gnosipublishers.com.ng/index.php/alkebulan>

[/index](#)

ISSN (Online): 2971-6187

## **The Legal Framework for Combating Terrorism in Nigeria: A Testament to Strategic Policy and Legislative Diligence**

**Benjamin Okezie Kalu**

Independent Research, Nigeria

### **ABSTRACT**

This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the progressive and robust legal framework established by the Federal Government of Nigeria to combat the scourge of terrorism. It chronicles the strategic evolution of counter-terrorism legislation from its nascent stages to the sophisticated and comprehensive Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act of 2022. The analysis highlights the government's unwavering commitment to aligning domestic law with international best practices and obligations, thereby creating a powerful legal arsenal for security agencies. The paper examines the critical role of various institutions, including the Office of the National Security Adviser, the Armed Forces, and the judiciary, in implementing this framework under challenging circumstances. While acknowledging the inherent difficulties in any fight against terrorism, the paper focuses on the significant achievements made possible through legislative diligence and political will. It concludes that Nigeria's legal architecture provides a strong foundation for continued success and offers constructive recommendations for its ongoing enhancement, positioning it as a model for regional security cooperation.

#### **\*Corresponding author:**

Benjamin Okezie Kalu

**Received:** 20 July 2024

**Accepted:** 2 July 2025

**Published:** 12 July 2025

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY-NC-4.0 ©2025 by author

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

**Keywords:** counter-terrorism; legal framework; Terrorism Prevention Act; national security; Nigeria.

## INTRODUCTION

The emergence and persistence of terrorism, particularly from violent extremist organizations like Boko Haram and the Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP), has represented one of the most severe national security challenges confronting the Nigerian state in the post-independence era. This threat has not only tested the nation's military and intelligence capabilities but has also demanded a sophisticated, principled, and comprehensive legal and policy response. The resilience and strategic foresight of the Federal Government of Nigeria have been demonstrated through its deliberate and systematic development of a robust legal architecture specifically designed to combat this scourge. This paper aims to provide an in-depth exploration of this legislative and policy journey, highlighting the government's profound commitment to utilizing the instrumentality of the law to preserve national sovereignty, ensure public safety, and uphold the democratic values enshrined in the constitution.

The central thesis underpinning this extensive analysis is that the Nigerian government, across different administrations, has exhibited exemplary leadership, strategic vision, and legislative diligence in constructing a legal regime that is both formidably strong and meticulously respectful of the rule of law and fundamental human rights principles. This evolving framework has effectively empowered the nation's brave security and intelligence agencies, provided a clear and unambiguous mandate for the judiciary, and seamlessly aligned Nigeria's domestic efforts with global counter-terrorism campaigns and international legal obligations. The progressive journey from the initial, pioneering legislation to the current, sophisticated legal instruments illustrates a dynamic process of learning, adaptation, and responsive governance in the face of an adaptive and ruthless adversary.

The primary objective of this paper is to furnish a detailed, scholarly account of the legislative measures, institutional coordination mechanisms, and strategic policy shifts that have collectively defined and refined Nigeria's counter-terrorism approach. This documentation is crucial for informing future policy directions, for guiding legislative reviews, and for showcasing to the international community Nigeria's formidable capacity to address complex security challenges through structured, democratic, and legalistic processes. The significance of this study is substantial; it serves to document a progressively successful model of legislative response to terrorism, which can offer valuable lessons and serve as a reference point for other nations grappling with analogous threats, particularly within the West African sub-region and across the continent.

The methodology employed in this research is predominantly doctrinal, focusing on a critical analysis of primary legal documents—including Acts of the National Assembly, policy white papers from key government ministries and agencies, and official gazettes. This is supplemented by a review of secondary sources, including scholarly articles, books from accredited academic presses, and reports from reputable international organizations that acknowledge the government's efforts, all analyzed through a lens that emphasizes strategic progress and institutional response. The scope is deliberately focused on the period from 2011 to the present, a transformative timeframe that marks a definitive paradigm shift in Nigeria's counter-terrorism strategy, moving from reliance on generic statutes to the enactment of specialized, standalone legislation.

This period encompasses the introduction of targeted legislation and its subsequent refinements, which collectively represent a sustained and focused commitment by the Nigerian state to this paramount issue of national security. It is a narrative of progress,

determination, and strategic national investment in security architecture. The following sections will elaborate extensively on the conceptual foundations, the detailed legislative evolution, the institutional coordination mechanics, and the future pathways for consolidating and building upon the significant gains achieved through this formidable and commendable legal architecture.

## **CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL ALIGNMENT**

The conceptualization of terrorism within a precise legal context requires careful, deliberate, and nuanced deliberation to perfectly balance the imperative for effective state action with the sacrosanct protection of civil liberties and constitutional guarantees. The Nigerian government approached this complex task with the utmost seriousness and a profound sense of responsibility, ensuring that the definitions ultimately adopted in our national laws are clear, operational, judiciable, and consistent with evolving international norms and legal standards. The comprehensive definition provided in the various iterations of the Terrorism Prevention Act is not a product of haste but of extensive stakeholder consultation, inter-agency reviews, and legislative debates, reflecting a deep desire to avoid any legal ambiguity that could potentially hinder prosecution or be exploited by adversaries to evade justice. This legal clarity has been absolutely fundamental in providing our security and intelligence agencies with a precise and unequivocal understanding of their targets and operational objectives, thereby enhancing both the efficacy and legitimacy of their critical counter-terrorism operations.

The government's overarching strategy is deeply and irrevocably rooted in the foundational principle of the **Rule of Law**, a cornerstone of our democracy. This ensures that every single counter-terrorism measure, whether related to investigation, detention, prosecution, or asset forfeiture, is explicitly prescribed by and strictly in accordance with duly enacted laws. This steadfast commitment acts as a powerful bulwark against arbitrary state action and reinforces Nigeria's esteemed status as a democratic society that cherishes justice, equity, and due process, even when confronted with the most grave and existential of threats. The very legislative process itself, involving rigorous, transparent, and robust debate in the hallowed chambers of the National Assembly, stands as an enduring testament to this commitment, ensuring that the laws enacted carry the legitimacy, credibility, and broad support of the Nigerian people, whom they are designed to protect.

Furthermore, the government's decisive actions are firmly justified and underpinned by the **National Security Theory**, which emphasizes the state's primary, non-negotiable duty to protect its citizens from harm and ensure its own continued survival and territorial integrity. The legal framework, therefore, provides the necessary, legitimate, and powerful tools for the state to fulfill this sacred obligation. However, in a demonstration of strategic sophistication, the Nigerian approach has also been consistently mindful of the **Human Security Paradigm**, astutely recognizing that long-term, sustainable victory requires addressing the underlying socio-economic conditions and grievances that can fuel extremism and radicalization. This balanced understanding is clearly evident in the government's simultaneous and significant investment in developmental programs, infrastructure projects, and social inclusion initiatives in the Northeast region, demonstrating a holistic, compassionate, and ultimately more effective understanding of national security that extends beyond the battlefield.

Nigeria's robust legal framework is not an isolated or insular creation but is deeply integrated with the wider international community's concerted efforts against transnational terrorism. The government has diligently and proactively worked to domesticate numerous international conventions, protocols, and treaties related to preventing and combating terrorism. This conscious alignment with global standards, such as those vigorously promoted by the United Nations Security Council, the General Assembly, and technical bodies like the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), powerfully underscores Nigeria's role as a responsible, reliable, and leading actor on the world stage. It actively facilitates crucial international cooperation, enhances intelligence sharing, and ensures that our national legal processes are recognized, respected, and supported by partner nations globally, thereby isolating terrorist groups and limiting their operational space.

The deliberate and strategic choice to embed international legal norms directly into our domestic law showcases the government's sophisticated and forward-thinking understanding of modern terrorism, which is often stateless, networked, and operates with contempt for national borders. By ensuring this seamless alignment, the government has effectively provided our agencies with the legal authority and international legitimacy to engage in complex joint operations, process extraditions of suspects, and disrupt sophisticated transnational financial networks that support terrorism within our borders. This strategic foresight has been instrumental in amplifying the reach and effectiveness of our national efforts through powerful, multilateral international partnerships and legal cooperation frameworks, making Nigeria a key pillar in global security.

## **THE PROGRESSIVE EVOLUTION OF COUNTER-TERRORISM LEGISLATION**

The development of Nigeria's counter-terrorism laws is a compelling story of progressive enhancement, strategic adaptation, and responsive governance. For decades prior to 2011, the legal landscape relied on general statutes like the Criminal Code and Penal Code, which were not specifically designed for the unique, complex, and transnational nature of modern terrorist threats. Recognizing this critical and dangerous gap, the administration of President Goodluck Jonathan, with the robust support and scrutiny of the National Assembly, took a historic and courageous step by passing the **Terrorism (Prevention) Act, 2011**. This groundbreaking legislation marked a definitive new era, providing the first dedicated legal instrument to explicitly define, prohibit, and punish terrorist acts and their financing on Nigerian soil, thereby creating a specialized legal domain for counter-terrorism.

The 2011 Act was a bold, innovative, and necessary foundation. It demonstrated the government's resolve to move decisively beyond general criminal laws and confront the escalating threat with specialized, precise, and powerful legal tools. It provided our security and intelligence agencies with the specific legal mandate they urgently required to investigate, disrupt, and prosecute terrorism-related activities effectively and within a clear legal framework. The passage of this act sent an unambiguous message to both citizens and adversaries that the Nigerian state was now more equipped, determined, and legally empowered than ever before to use the full force of law to protect its people and its territorial integrity from the menace of terrorism.

Demonstrating a commitment to continuous improvement, operational responsiveness, and legislative agility, the same administration championed

the **Terrorism (Prevention) (Amendment) Act, 2013**. This amendment was a swift and decisive reaction to the rapidly evolving tactics of terrorist groups and the identified need to further strengthen provisions against the lifeblood of terrorism: its financing. It reflected the government's agility in refining its legal tools and its attentiveness to expert recommendations from international partners like the FATF, showcasing a leadership style that is both proactive and collaboratively engaged with the global community. This amendment was a clear signal that Nigeria's counter-terrorism strategy was a living, evolving process, constantly being honed and sharpened for greater efficacy and impact. The 2013 amendment significantly enhanced the powers of relevant agencies to track, freeze, and confiscate terrorist assets, thereby striking directly at the financial core of terrorist operations. It also introduced stricter, more deterrent penalties for a wider range of offences, unequivocally underscoring the government's zero-tolerance policy towards those who seek to undermine the nation's security and stability. This legislative refinement was a clear indication that the government was learning from the implementation of the 2011 Act and was committed to closing any potential loopholes and strengthening the legal infrastructure based on practical experience and emerging best practices.

In a move that brilliantly consolidated over a decade of legislative experience, practical learning, and security sector feedback, the administration of President Muhammadu Buhari repealed the previous acts to enact the comprehensive and vastly improved **Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act, 2022**. This landmark legislation represents the pinnacle of the government's legislative efforts and a quantum leap in legal drafting. It is a more sophisticated, detailed, and holistic legal instrument that incorporates hard-won lessons learned from years of practical application on the ground and aligns with the very latest international standards.

The TPA 2022 introduced innovative structural changes, most notably the establishment of the **Terrorism Prevention and Prohibition Committee (TPPC)**, to ensure superior policy coordination, implementation oversight, and inter-agency synergy. It also expanded provisions for rehabilitation, deradicalization, and reintegration, reflecting a mature, balanced, and compassionate approach that seeks not only to punish but also to heal, reconcile, and rebuild communities shattered by violence. This law stands as a powerful testament to the government's enduring commitment to adapting, strengthening, and future-proofing its legal response to the enduring and evolving threat of terrorism.

## **IN-DEPTH ANALYSIS OF THE TERRORISM (PREVENTION AND PROHIBITION) ACT, 2022**

The Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act, 2022, stands as the crowning achievement and cornerstone of the government's legal accomplishments in this critical domain. Its meticulously detailed provisions provide a comprehensive and powerful toolkit for identifying, disrupting, and dismantling terrorist networks and their support structures. The act offers an extensive, precise, and multi-faceted definition of terrorist acts, leaving minimal room for misinterpretation or legal evasion. This enhanced legal clarity is paramount as it ensures that our security forces and judicial officers operate with a crystal-clear understanding of their mandates and targets, thereby significantly enhancing both the operational effectiveness and the democratic legitimacy of their crucial operations.

A particularly strong and praiseworthy aspect of the Act is its rigorous and elaborate provisions against the financing of terrorism, widely acknowledged as the oxygen that sustains terrorist groups. It mandates financial institutions and other designated non-financial businesses and professions to maintain vigilant oversight, conduct customer due diligence, and report suspicious transactions promptly to the Nigerian Financial Intelligence Unit (NFIU). This effectively turns the nation's entire formal financial system into a proactive frontline defense against terrorism. The powers granted to the relevant authorities to freeze and confiscate assets without a prior judicial order in urgent circumstances—subject to swift subsequent judicial ratification—provide our agencies with the necessary speed, flexibility, and operational autonomy to respond to imminent threats, while still operating within a system of constitutional checks and balances that prevent abuse.

The establishment of the **Terrorism Prevention and Prohibition Committee (TPPC)** is a visionary institutional innovation of the highest order. Chaired by the National Security Adviser, this high-level committee brings together all relevant security, military, intelligence, and law enforcement agencies under one strategic umbrella, alongside key relevant ministries. Its mandate for overarching policy formulation, coordination, and monitoring addresses the perennial challenge of inter-agency rivalry and operational silos, fostering a culture of cooperation, seamless information sharing, and joint operational planning that is absolutely essential for success in modern, complex security operations. The TPPC is a definitive force multiplier, ensuring that the whole-of-government approach is not just a rhetorical slogan but a daily operational reality guided by a single, coherent strategy.

The Act also demonstrates profound foresight and a commitment to sustainable peace by incorporating measures for the rehabilitation, deradicalization, and reintegration of individuals involved in terrorism, particularly low-level combatants and those abducted or coerced. This reflects a government that is thinking strategically beyond mere punishment towards long-term community reconciliation and national healing. By providing a clear legal pathway and framework for deradicalization programs, the government acknowledges the complex, ideological nature of extremism and offers a compassionate, evidence-based solution for those who have been misled, aiming to bring them back into the fold of a peaceful and productive society, thereby reducing the chances of recidivism.

Furthermore, the Act strengthens the procedural aspects of terrorism trials, ensuring they are both efficient and fair. It reaffirms the exclusive jurisdiction of the Federal High Court for such matters, promoting the development of specialized judicial expertise and consistency in legal interpretation in these highly complex and sensitive matters. The government, through this legislation, has provided the judiciary with the clear, robust, and detailed legal framework needed to adjudicate cases efficiently, fairly, and with the necessary security precautions, ensuring that justice is delivered in a manner that upholds the nation's values and strengthens the social fabric.

## **COMMENDABLE                  INSTITUTIONAL                  COORDINATION                  AND IMPLEMENTATION**

The ultimate efficacy of any law is determined by the quality, dedication, and coordination of the institutions that implement it, and in this regard, Nigeria's security and justice architecture has risen to the challenge with commendable dedication, bravery,

and resilience. The **Office of the National Security Adviser (ONSA)** has provided exceptional strategic leadership and policy direction, coordinating the multifaceted national response and ensuring that policy directives are effectively translated into coherent, actionable strategies on the ground. Its role has been absolutely instrumental in creating a unified command structure and a common operational direction for the entire security sector, thereby minimizing duplication and maximizing impact.

The **Armed Forces of Nigeria**, comprising the Army, Air Force, and Navy, have displayed unparalleled bravery, professionalism, and sacrifice in directly engaging and combating terrorists in the difficult terrain of the Northeast and elsewhere. They have often paid the ultimate price to secure the nation's peace and restore state authority over occupied territories. Their kinetic operations have successfully degraded the military capabilities, leadership, and territorial control of terrorist groups, making it possible for other law enforcement and civilian agencies to operate more effectively and for displaced populations to return home. The military's role in apprehending suspects, securing evidence from liberated areas, and holding ground previously under terrorist control has been a critical enabler for the broader judicial and reconciliation process.

The **Department of State Services (DSS)** and the **Nigerian Police Force (NPF)**, particularly its Intelligence Response Team and Anti-Bomb Squad, have been at the forefront of the domestic investigative and domestic intelligence battle. Their painstaking work in intelligence gathering, surveillance, counter-intelligence, and criminal investigation has provided the bulk of the evidence necessary for successful prosecutions. Operating under extremely difficult, dangerous, and often thankless conditions, these agencies have demonstrated remarkable professionalism, patience, and resilience in their unwavering commitment to their duty of protecting the nation from within.

On the financial front, the **Nigerian Financial Intelligence Unit (NFIU)** has played a crucial and often unsung role in following the money trail. By monitoring, analyzing, and disseminating financial intelligence reports on suspicious transactions, the NFIU has been increasingly successful in identifying, tracing, and disrupting the complex flow of funds to terrorist organizations. This financial intelligence is a critical, non-kinetic component of the overall strategy, aiming to starve terrorist groups of the resources they need to procure weapons, pay fighters, and sustain their campaigns of violence and propaganda against the state and its people.

The **Judiciary**, particularly the Federal High Court, has shouldered the immense responsibility and burden of adjudicating complex terrorism cases. Judges, often working under significant security pressures and threats, have handled these sensitive matters with commendable diligence, courage, and a deep sense of duty. The government's provision of a clear legal framework, alongside efforts to enhance judicial security, has empowered the judiciary to administer justice fairly, efficiently, and in accordance with the law, ensuring that the legal process itself becomes a powerful tool of national security, affirming the state's commitment to justice even in the face of terror.

## **CONSTRUCTIVE CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE ENHANCEMENT**

While the achievements documented are significant and praiseworthy, the dynamic, adaptive, and asymmetrical nature of modern terrorism necessitates a permanent posture of continuous improvement, learning, and investment. The government recognizes the paramount importance of ongoing, specialized training and capacity building for all

agencies involved in the implementation of these powerful laws. Investing in advanced forensic technology, digital surveillance capabilities, cyber-intelligence, and specialized legal training for prosecutors will further enhance the state's ability to secure convictions based on irrefutable, scientific, and admissible evidence, moving beyond an over-reliance on confessional statements.

The broad definitions within the TPA 2022, while necessary for comprehensiveness and flexibility, require a corresponding and significant investment in continuous training and the development of detailed operational guidelines to ensure they are applied with precision, consistency, and wisdom across all agencies and regions. The government is committed to ensuring that our officers, investigators, and judges are continually equipped with the latest knowledge, ethical grounding, and professional judgment to wield these powerful legal tools appropriately and effectively, safeguarding against any potential for misapplication and steadfastly upholding the constitutional rights of all citizens.

The full and effective implementation of the rehabilitation and deradicalization provisions presents a profound opportunity for innovative policy development and international partnership. The government can lead the way in developing world-class, evidence-based programs that could serve as a model for other nations confronting similar challenges. Investing in psychological counseling, theological re-education, vocational training, and community reconciliation initiatives will be key to sustainably reintegrating repentant individuals and breaking the intergenerational cycle of violence and resentment, thereby addressing the ideology as well as the violence it produces.

Enhancing public awareness, community cooperation, and trust-building is another critical area for future focus. By educating citizens on the provisions of the law, the importance of their role in reporting suspicious activities, and the measures in place to protect them, the government can foster a stronger, more resilient partnership between the state and the populace. A well-informed, engaged, and supportive citizenry is a powerful force multiplier in the fight against terrorism, creating a nationwide network of vigilance that supports and amplifies official efforts.

Finally, the government can further strengthen international collaboration, not just in security operations but in judicial matters such as mutual legal assistance, evidence sharing, witness protection, and capacity building. Leading regional efforts through ECOWAS to harmonize counter-terrorism laws and operational procedures would facilitate smoother cooperation among West African nations, making it more difficult for terrorists to exploit jurisdictional gaps between countries and ensuring a unified regional front against terrorism and violent extremism.

## **CONCLUSION**

The legal framework for combating terrorism in Nigeria is a profound achievement and a clear reflection of strategic government policy, legislative diligence, and institutional resilience. From the pioneering Terrorism Prevention Act of 2011 to the comprehensive and sophisticated TPA of 2022, the government has displayed a consistent, unwavering, and bipartisan commitment to empowering the state with the legitimate and powerful legal tools necessary to protect its citizens, preserve its sovereignty, and uphold its values. This progressive evolution demonstrates a responsive, learning, and adaptive government, one that continuously refines its strategies and laws to meet emerging challenges and incorporate lessons learned from lived experience.

The synergy between various government institutions—ONSA, the Military, DSS, NPF, NFIU, and the Judiciary—underpins the successful implementation of these laws. Their collective bravery, dedication, and often sacrifices have translated legislative intent into tangible security gains, reclaimed territories, and thousands of terrorists neutralized or brought to justice. The framework's deliberate alignment with international standards further solidifies Nigeria's position as a responsible, reliable, and leading actor in the global fight against terrorism, enhancing cooperation and solidifying its standing in the international community.

While challenges remain, as they do in any nation confronting such a complex, adaptive, and ideologically motivated threat, the Nigerian government has built a formidable, dynamic, and respected legal foundation. The path forward is one of consolidation, enhancement, and relentless commitment: building capacity, fostering rehabilitation and reconciliation, deepening international and regional cooperation, and winning the trust of communities. The existing legal architecture provides not just a shield for today, but a sustainable, principled, and robust framework for ensuring a peaceful, secure, and prosperous Nigeria for future generations. It is a testament to what a nation can achieve when it confronts a severe threat with resolve, strategic wisdom, an investment in institutions, and an unwavering commitment to the rule of law.

## REFERENCES

- Adewale, A. (2018). *Counter-Terrorism and Law in Nigeria: A Critical Analysis of the Terrorism Prevention Act*. Lagos: Malthouse Press Limited.
- Akanbi, M. M., & Mohammed, A. T. (2019). The Nigerian Terrorism (Prevention) (Amendment) Act 2013 and the challenge of terrorism financing. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 26(1), 258-270.
- Alemika, E. E. O. (2017). *Security Sector Reform, Governance and Democratization in Nigeria*. Abuja: National Defence College Press.
- Bamidele, O. (2020). *National Security, Intelligence and the Law in Nigeria*. Ibadan: University of Ibadan Press.
- Campbell, J. (2021). *Nigeria and the Nation-State: Rethinking Diplomacy with the Postcolonial World*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Eze, C. (2022). The Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022: A New Era for Nigeria's Counter-Terrorism Legal Framework. *Nigerian Journal of Legal Studies*, 15(2), 45-67.
- Falana, F. (2019). *Human Rights and the Rule of Law in Nigeria*. Lagos: Centre for Human Rights and the Rule of Law.
- Ibeanu, O. (2016). *Beyond Bullets: A Holistic Approach to Countering Insurgency in Nigeria*. Enugu: John Jacobs Classic Publishers.
- Ikuteyijo, L. O. (2020). The Challenges of Counter-Terrorism and Counter-Insurgency in Nigeria. In O. Oyewole (Ed.), *The Palgrave Handbook of African Politics, Governance and Development* (pp. 567-583). Palgrave Macmillan.
- International Committee of the Red Cross. (2017). *International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges of Contemporary Armed Conflicts*. Geneva: ICRC.
- Nigerian Law Publications. (2022). *Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act, 2022*. Abuja: Federal Government Printer.
- Nwolise, O. B. C. (2021). *National Security and Human Rights in Nigeria's Counter-Terrorism Strategy: Finding a Balance*. In E. E. O. Alemika & F. O. Okogie

- (Eds.), *National Security, Governance, and Development in Africa* (pp. 95-112). University of Lagos Press.
- Ojo, J. S. (2020). *The Politics of Counter-Terrorism in Nigeria: A Critical Assessment*. London: Routledge.
- Okeke, J. M. (2020). *The Nigerian Terrorism (Prevention) (Amendment) Act 2013: A Critical Appraisal*. Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of International Law and Jurisprudence, 11(1), 127-135.
- Omenma, J. T., & Hendricks, C. (2018). Counter-terrorism in Nigeria: The imperative of a multi-stakeholder approach. *Security Journal*, 31(4), 903-922.
- Onapajo, H. (2017). *Has Nigeria Defeated Boko Haram? An Appraisal of the Counter-Terrorism Approach under the Buhari Administration*. *Strategic Analysis*, 41(1), 61-73.
- Onuoha, F. C. (2014). *The Evolution of Boko Haram: From Missionary to a Terrorist Group*. Doha: Al Jazeera Centre for Studies.
- Onuoha, F. C., & Ezirim, G. E. (2018). *Terrorism and Counter-Terrorism in Nigeria: Conceptual and Operational Issues*. Abuja: National Defence College.
- Osinbajo, Y. (2021). *The Nigerian Project: The Rule of Law as a Tool for National Transformation*. Ibadan: Safari Books Ltd.
- Thomson, V. (2019). *Boko Haram and the Nigerian State*. Leiden: Brill.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *Handbook on Criminal Justice Responses to Terrorism*. New York: United Nations.
- Zenn, J. (2020). *Unmasking Boko Haram: Exploring Global Jihad in Nigeria*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.